

Regrettably there are those who use the power of the independent movement to attack source. They pretend to be in the center of things and then get active in backstabbing LRH and his work. Here's an example of a topic at Scientology-Cult.com where "Scientologists" act as if they intend to protect the philosophy.

"Scientology IS the issue."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"The problem goes to the core of the subject itself – the GO/OSA, the RPF, Fair Game, and many other such constructs came from the Founder himself."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"The church cannot be corrected because LRH cannot be corrected."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"...but if nothing else is changed, another dictator will sooner or later step into those same shoes."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"The fundamental problem I see is that a hierarchical organisation, which can be controlled from a single post at the top is a magnet for anti-social types who need to dominate others."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"LRH did not develop all of the tech that is in use today and did not credit those who did the contributions. The open source model mirrors the actual process of discovery and ensures that credit and responsibility are correctly assigned."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"Where do you draw the line to ensure that the subject and technology remain effective and yet add to or change policies to prevent bad things from happening?"

*No opposing comment was issued*

"There are those that will rail out against this suggestion, saying we only need to get on source and apply what we have. I'm sorry, actual evidence has shown that this is not enough."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"LRH emphasized repeatedly that he was the sole source of Scientology, and while this protected the subject from destructive changes for the most part, a mindset was ingrained in scientologists to respect and hold inviolate a *Single Authority Figure* who dictates what the subject is, and the policies to be followed, etc"

*No opposing comment was issued*

"Unless the entire subject is teased apart and the technology seperated from the man LRH, ..."

*No opposing comment was issued*

"For instance you have this misconception that Scientology is supposed to have a "leader". There isn't a single policy ever written that backs up this contention!"

*No opposing comment was issued*

"Was LRH the sole source of tech? Who cares."

*No opposing comment was issued*

---

All these comments above come from a single thread at Scientology-cult.com. There are other similar threads and there's more talk of similar character.

You can say that this is freedom of speech, this is correct, but then I think there should also be freedom of speech for those with different views.

I delivered three messages with opposing views. The moderator then contacted me about my entries and said he wanted to discuss them with me, resulting in that two were left out.

Stefan Tunedal

7 Mar 2010